have significantly contributed to the advancement and adoption of the technologies (see references 1 and 2 of the April 2008 CDA Journal), allowing clinicians to practice prosthetic-driven implant dentistry.

The ever so important and multifaceted task of determining the accurate placement of implants and assessing bone-grafting procedures (guided bone regeneration) prior to surgery is paramount.

Two-dimensional images such as a panograph and periapical (PA) films have inherent shape and size distortion, along with changes in magnification. In order to minimize potential surgical complications, one of the most important steps is obtaining appropriate radiographs utilizing the data from a CBVT and combining the images with an interactive 3-D implant treatment planning software, which can significantly increase the accuracy of the implant placement for an ideal prosthetic result.

The accuracy of the CBVT results from the size of a voxel, which is short for volumetric pixel. The smaller the voxel size, the more accurate the resulting scan, and the better the resolution. A voxel is to a CBVT as a pixel is to a digital PA. The ability to assess an area of interest in three dimensions can benefit both novice and experienced clinicians alike.

High resolution limited CBVTs have been designed for dental applications, as opposed to sliced-image data of conventional CT imaging. CBVT captures a cylindrical volume of data that offers advantages over CT that include increased accuracy, higher resolution and decreased radiation dose exposure.

I will try to illustrate through the report of a case involving a missing maxillary central incisor that this concept can also be applied for multiple implants. The use of planning tools allows the clinician to effectively communicate the plan with the other members of the implant team as well as with the patient.

Case study

A 38-year-old male presented as a new patient to our office. His chief complaint was he was unhappy with the aesthetics and the stability of the three-unit Maryland bridge that was constructed to replace a maxillary right central incisor (tooth #8) that was extracted secondary to sustaining a fractured root during post and core insertion after endodontic therapy approximately 20 years ago.

Since then he has had to have the bridge recommissioned numerous times. His medical history revealed no significance findings. The retracted antero-posterior (frontal)
Academy of Osseointegration
Annual Meeting
February 26-28, 2009
San Diego Convention Center
San Diego

Highlights include:
- Round Table Clinics
- Limited Attendance Lectures
- Two Track Program (Surgical & Restorative)
- Commercial Exhibits
- Failure Festival
- Dental Hygiene/Assistant Program
- Laboratory Technician Program

osseo.org
view shows the prosthesis in place and the gingival recession corono-apically as compared to the adjacent natural teeth, resulting from years of absence of a root and a resorbed socket supporting the overlying soft tissue. The axial (occlusal) view shows the severe buccal recession resulting in a concavity and an inadequately contoured buccal plate in the area of tooth #8.

The 2-D PA of tooth #8 revealed adequate interdental space needed to place a wide enough diameter that would provide for a properly contoured crown with the appropriate amount of interproximal emergence profile.

It also provided us with the height of the alveolar crest in the implant site and the amount of corono-apical resorption of the alveolar crest, allowing us to plan for a straight-walled implant long enough to provide enough osseointegrated surface area to resist and allow for the long-term loading effects on the implant.

From the PA we weren’t able to determine the buccal-palatal dimension of the alveolus or do an accurate virtual implant planning, so after explaining the limitations of the PA to the patient and receiving his consent, we scanned him using our in-office Galileos CBVT (Sirona Dental Systems GmbH).

Utilizing the highly interactive viewing software (Galaxis [SiCa]), which is the software component of Galileos, not only visualization of the proposed implant site simultaneously in all three dimensions was possible, but also ruling out of any pathology in the general vicinity that might affect the prognosis of the initial healing process or osseointegration.

It’s also possible to import from a library of implants in the implant planning module that is native in Galaxis and virtually place the appropriately sized implant (Certain straight walled, internal hex implant [BIOMET 3I]) using the existing pontic as a guide that would result in an ideal prosthetic result and conservatively manageable periodontal sulcus depth.

We were also able to determine the need for implant site development at the time of the implant placement, which included an internal socket ridge expansion with bone grafting and coronal advancement of the gingival margin along with a sub-epithelial connective tissue graft to increase the zone of keratinized tissue.

When planning for an implant, it is important to consider the available bone volume, bone density, proximity to vital anatomic structures like roots of adjacent teeth, in the mandible the mental foramen and its anterior loop and inferior
alveolar nerve canal, in the maxilla and the nasal and sinus floor.

The cross-sectional views are the most critical as they show the available bone area and aid in determining the available bone volume, the ratio of cortical bone vs. medullary bone and the thickness and integrity and continuity of the cortical plates surrounding the trabecular bone.

As we were keeping the implant prosthetic position the same as the existing pontic and not changing the occlusion, from the initial study model a vacuum-formed surgical guide was fabricated and used at the time of the surgery.

The 3-D model can be rotated in any position, allowing for the ultimate inspection and appreciation of the implant site.

The body and thread design of the implant was fully visualized and an accurate assessment of apical and implant body proximity to vital anatomic structures was determined to be non-critical.

The images from the scan and implant planning were incorporated into a CASEY (Patterson Dental) presentation helping the patient understand the recommended treatment.

Conclusion

In the past, 2-D imaging was the only way to help diagnose a potential implant site, especially for a single tooth replacement. However, the acceptance and utilization of CT and CBVT have helped clinicians expand beyond their conventional imaging modalities to understanding the 3-D anatomic presentations and the importance of this technology.

‘the acceptance and utilization of CT and CBVT has helped clinicians expand beyond their conventional imaging modalities to understanding the 3-D anatomic presentations and the importance of this technology.’
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